

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,055	07/10/2001	Klaus Keite-Telgenbuscher	Beiersdorf 730-WCG	9275
	90 03/13/2003			
Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus 30th Floor			EXAMINER	
220 East 42nd S New York, NY			BAREFORD, KATHERINE A	
riow rotk, tvi	10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	V.pp.nodnom no.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					
Office Action Co.	09/902,055	KEITE-TELGENBUSCHER ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Katherine A. Bareford	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 F</u>							
i contract to the contract to	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		niner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
44)[7] =	is: a) approved b) disapprov						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		•					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
a) The translation of the foreign language provides 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application has been rece priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	ived. and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) Itent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Actio	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 11					

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment of Feb. 24, 2003 has been received and entered.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the amendment of Feb. 24, 2003, applicant has provided headings, such as BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION, BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS, etc. However, the heading "DETAILED DESCRIPTION" has been placed on page 6 of the specification, prior to the "BREF DISCUSSION OF THE DRAWINGS" on page 15. The "DETAILED DESCRIPTION" heading should be placed after the "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS". Also, it appears that "DESCRIPTION" should be used rather than "DISCUSSION" when providing the heading for the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

The title of the invention is now descriptive.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: at line 1, "said" should apparently simply be "said". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 09/902,055 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4, line 2, "the zones" lacks antecedent basis. Support for "the zones" is provided in claim 2, not claim 1 from which this claims depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ludwig (US 5122219) in view of Moriarity (US 6273701).

Ludwig teaches a method of applying liquid or pastelike substances to a backing material.

Column 1, lines 5-10 and figure 1. The material can be thermoplastic. Column 1, lines 5-10.

The substance is applied using a die to coat at least part of the backing material traveling along

Application/Control Number: 09/902,055

Art Unit: 1762

the die. Column 3, lines 10-40 and figure 1. The die is provided with heating elements. Column 3, lines 40-68 and figure 1.

Claim 7, 15: the backing material is guided along an apparatus which produces counterpressure. Figure 1 and column 3, lines 10-40. This apparatus can be a roll. Figure 1 and column 3, lines 10-40.

Claim 8: the substance can be applied by means of the die through a perforated cylinder onto the backing material. Figure 1 and column 3, lines 10-40.

Claim 11, 14: the coating can be a thermoplastic polymer. Column 1, lines 5-10.

Ludwig teaches all the features of the claims except (1) the transverse bending of the die based on temperature differences in the die body (claim 1), (2) the die features (claims 2-6, 9-10) and (3) the specific materials and amounts (claims 15-18)

Moriarity teaches an extrusion die system. Figures 1-3 and column 2, lines 35-40. The die is used to extrude a liquid/pasty substance to a backing material (polymer melt onto a roll, for example). See column 5, lines 50/15 and column 7, lines 20-35. The die body is flexed (i.e. bent) transversely to the direction of travel of the roll in zones across the elongated portion of the die. See figures 2-3 and column 6, lines 25-60. This bending can be induced by temperature differences within the die body that come from heaters embedded within the die body. See figures 2-3 and column 6, lines 25-60. The heaters can be electrical heaters. Column 6, lines 30-45 and figures 2-3. Bending can also occur through the use of a heat controlled actuator system. See column 5, lines 5-30 and figures 1-3.

Art Unit: 1762

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ludwig to provide a thermal adjustment system that provides the claimed bending as suggested by Moriarity so as to provide optimum control of the extrudate dimensions from the die, because Ludwig teaches a system of coating by extruding heated coating material from a die, and Moriarity teaches a method of controlling extrudate dimensions when extruding heated coating material from a die using embedded heating elements. It further would have been obvious that the coating fluid provides part of the temperature control of the various zones, since both references teach heating the dies and/or coating material to provide broad temperature control of the coating material. It further would have been obvious to move the die in its mounts with an expectation of desirable results, since it would be desired to clean the web and load the substrate in start up procedure. It further would have been obvious that the bending would be controlled proportionate to the amount of the substance applied to the backing roll, since this reflects the die gap width. It further would have been obvious to perform routine experimentation to optimize the processing shear, based on the die gap and coating material selected. It further would have been obvious to apply a hot-melt pressure sensitive adhesive using the teachings of Ludwig with an expectation of desirable coating results, since it is the Examiner's position that hot-melt thermoplastics as taught by Ludwig are well known to be used when providing hot-melt pressure sensitive adhesives. As to the amount of coating material applied, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to optimize the amounts of material applied passed on the final product to be produced.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,055

Art Unit: 1762

MO

WB

9. Claims 1-7 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 622 127 A1 (Hereinafter '127) in view of Moriarity (US 6273701).

'127 teaches a method of applying a coating substance to a backing material. Column 2, lines 5-30 and figure 2. The material can be a hot-melt adhesive. Column 1, lines 10-15. The substance is applied using a die to coat at least part of the backing material traveling along the die. Column 2, lines 10-30 and figure 2.

Claim 7, 15: the backing material can be guided along an apparatus which produces counterpressure. Figure 2 and column 3, lines 40-55 (if the backing material is considered the substrate 30, then the counterpressure is provided by backing roller 36). This apparatus can be a roll. Figure 2 and column 3, lines 40-55.

Claim 11, 16: the coating can be a hot-melt adhesive. Column 1, lines 10-15.

Claims 12-13: the backing material can be a roll with an abhesive surface. Figure 2 and column 3, line 55 through column 4, line 5 (if the backing material is considered to be application roller 26). The coating on the surface can be a fluorine coating (i.e. TEFLON). Column 3, line 55 through column 4, line 5.

'127 teaches all the features of the claims except (1) the transverse being of the die based on temperature differences in the die body (claim 1), (2) the die features (claims 2-6, 9-13), (3) the thermoplastic (claims 11, 14) and (4) the amounts applied. (Usins 17-18)

Moriarity teaches an extrusion die system. Figures 1-3 and column 2, lines 35-40. The die is used to extrude a liquid/pasty substance to a backing material (polymer melt onto a roll, for example). See column 5, lines 5015 and column 7, lines 20-35. The die body is flexed (i.e.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,055

Art Unit: 1762

bent) transversely to the direction of travel of the roll in zones across the elongated portion of the die. See figures 2-3 and column 6, lines 25-60. This bending can be induced by temperature differences within the die body that come from heaters embedded within the die body. See figures 2-3 and column 6, lines 25-60. The heaters can be electrical heaters. Column 6, lines 30-45 and figures 2-3. Bending can also occur through the use of a heat controlled actuator system. See column 5, lines 5-30 and figures 1-3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify '127 to provide a thermal adjustment system that provides the claimed bending as suggested by Moriarity so as to provide optimum control of the extrudate dimensions from the die, because '127 teaches a system of coating by extruding heated coating material from a die, and Moriarity teaches a method of controlling extrudate dimensions when extruding heated coating material from a die. It further would have been obvious that the coating fluid provides part of the temperature control of the various zones, since the references teach heating coating material which would affect the temperature results in the zones (note the flow descriptions in Moriarity). It further would have been obvious to move the die in its mounts with an expectation of desirable results, since it would be desired to clean the web and load the substrate in start up procedure. It further would have been obvious that the bending would be controlled proportionate to the amount of the substance applied to the backing roll, since this reflects the die gap width. It further would have been obvious to perform routine experimentation to optimize the processing shear, based on the die gap and coating material selected. It further would have been obvious to perform routine experimentation to optimize the amount of coating applied to the applicator roll

Art·Unit: 1762

(backing material), based on the coating used and the substance to be applied (note the suggested thickness and materials in '127). It further would have been obvious to use thermoplastic polymers as the adhesive materials with an expectation of desirable coating results, given the teaching in '127 of using various hot-melt pressure sensitive adhesives, which are well known in the art to be thermoplastics.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Examiner has cited Moriarity (US 6273701) as to the use of embedded heaters for bending of an extrusion die.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine A. Bareford whose telephone number is (703) 308-0078. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:00-4:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ATHERINE A. BAREFORD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100 (202)

A. BAREFORE